



Online appendix to

**Regression-Style Models for Parameter Estimation in Dynamic Microsimulation:
An Empirical Performance Assessment**

Jessica M McLay

Centre of Methods and Policy Application in the Social Sciences,
The University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
e-mail: jessica.mclay@auckland.ac.nz

Roy Lay-Yee

Centre of Methods and Policy Application in the Social Sciences,
The University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
e-mail: r.layyee@auckland.ac.nz

Barry J Milne

Centre of Methods and Policy Application in the Social Sciences,
The University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
e-mail: b.milne@auckland.ac.nz

Peter Davis

Centre of Methods and Policy Application in the Social Sciences,
The University of Auckland
Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142, New Zealand
e-mail: pb.davis@auckland.ac.nz

1. MEAN ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCES AND STANDARDISED MEAN ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCES DATA AT EACH AGE

Tables 1 to 5 show the Mean absolute differences (MADs) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMADs) between simulated and observed data for each age. These values are equivalent to those presented in Table 4 in the main text except that they use data only from one of the ages (e.g. only the age 8 data is used in Table #) and no averaging across age has occurred as was performed for the values in Table 4 in the main text.

TABLE NOTES

The table notes for all tables in Appendix 1 are supplied here:

^a The PRESS statistic is not a mean absolute difference but a standardised measure of the distance between the observed and predicted values. Smaller values are better.

^b Time-invariant predictors given a weight of 1/5 each, time-variant predictors given a weight of 1/3 each. Other characteristics were each given a weight of 1.

^c Means by predictor variables given a combined weight of 1 with the time-invariant predictors each given a weight of 0.1 and the time-variant predictors each given a weight of 1/6. Other characteristics were each given a weight of 1.

Table 1 Mean absolute differences (MAD) (smaller is better) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMAD) (more negative is better) between simulated and observed data for age 9

| Data Characteristic | OLS-LDV | | RE | | RE-AR (1) | | Hybrid | | FE | | System GMM DPM | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD |
| PRESS statistic ⁰ | 0.26 | -0.65 | 0.35 | -0.21 | 0.79 | 2.01 | 0.35 | -0.19 | 0.31 | -0.43 | 0.28 | -0.53 |
| Overall distributions | 1.50 | -0.64 | 1.86 | -0.43 | 5.96 | 2.01 | 2.10 | -0.28 | 2.28 | -0.18 | 1.78 | -0.48 |
| Means across time | 0.50 | -1.42 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.70 | -0.01 | 0.93 | 1.66 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.64 | -0.41 |
| Within-child correlations (dynamism) | 0.09 | -1.45 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.15 | -0.46 | 0.14 | -0.68 |
| Within-child standard deviations | 0.76 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -0.27 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 1.16 | 0.14 | 1.27 | 0.66 |
| <i>Time-invariant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | 0.82 | -1.06 | 1.13 | 0.03 | 1.28 | 0.57 | 1.54 | 1.47 | 1.17 | 0.16 | 0.79 | -1.17 |
| Breast-feeding | 1.39 | -0.71 | 1.57 | -0.35 | 2.69 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 0.31 | 1.47 | -0.55 | 1.45 | -0.6 |
| Father's education | 0.40 | -1.24 | 1.23 | -0.03 | 1.60 | 1.09 | 1.51 | 0.82 | 1.41 | 0.52 | 0.87 | -1.16 |
| Mother's education | 0.90 | -1.1 | 1.28 | 0.02 | 1.71 | 1.30 | 1.56 | 0.85 | 1.30 | 0.09 | 0.88 | -1.17 |
| Family's socio-economic status | 0.92 | -1.17 | 1.26 | -0.02 | 1.56 | 1.03 | 1.58 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 0.19 | 0.93 | -1.14 |
| <i>Time-variant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home-ownership | 1.02 | -1.13 | 1.34 | -0.38 | 1.40 | -0.23 | 1.75 | 0.57 | 1.27 | -0.54 | 2.23 | 1.71 |
| Mother's hours worked | 3.79 | -0.56 | 4.05 | -0.35 | 7.03 | 2.03 | 4.17 | -0.26 | 3.85 | -0.51 | 4.05 | -0.35 |
| Father's smoking | 3.56 | -0.79 | 4.39 | -0.31 | 8.32 | 1.98 | 4.52 | -0.24 | 3.97 | -0.55 | 4.79 | -0.08 |
| <i>Weighted means</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scheme 1 ^b | -1.11 | | -0.08 | | 1.22 | | 0.42 | | -0.16 | | -0.29 | -1.11 |
| Scheme 2 ^c | -1.14 | | -0.05 | | 1.22 | | 0.42 | | -0.15 | | -0.29 | -1.14 |

Table 2 Mean absolute differences (MAD) (smaller is better) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMAD) (more negative is better) between simulated and observed data for age 10

| Data Characteristic | OLS-LDV | | RE | | RE-AR (1) | | Hybrid | | FE | | System GMM DPM | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD |
| PRESS statistic ⁰ | 0.41 | -0.31 | 0.38 | -0.48 | 0.81 | 1.94 | 0.39 | -0.46 | 0.32 | -0.82 | 0.49 | 0.12 |
| Overall distributions | 1.46 | -0.83 | 2.77 | -0.07 | 6.25 | 1.95 | 2.85 | -0.02 | 1.96 | -0.54 | 2.04 | -0.49 |
| Means across time | 0.50 | -1.42 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.70 | -0.01 | 0.93 | 1.66 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.73 | -0.41 |
| Within-child correlations (dynamism) | 0.09 | -1.45 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.14 | -0.46 | 0.15 | -0.68 |
| Within-child standard deviations | 0.76 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -0.27 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 1.27 | 0.14 | 1.16 | 0.66 |
| <i>Time-invariant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | 0.66 | -1.26 | 1.05 | 0.15 | 1.11 | 0.4 | 1.32 | 1.14 | 1.21 | 0.74 | 0.69 | -1.17 |
| Breast-feeding | 1.49 | -0.88 | 1.77 | -0.26 | 2.75 | 1.93 | 1.94 | 0.12 | 1.68 | -0.45 | 1.67 | -0.46 |
| Father's education | 0.79 | -1.49 | 1.26 | 0.03 | 1.57 | 1.04 | 1.44 | 0.6 | 1.47 | 0.72 | 0.97 | -0.91 |
| Mother's education | 0.78 | -1.4 | 1.35 | 0.2 | 1.70 | 1.18 | 1.54 | 0.71 | 1.40 | 0.33 | 0.92 | -1.01 |
| Family's socio-economic status | 0.78 | -1.46 | 1.06 | -0.21 | 1.35 | 1.08 | 1.28 | 0.75 | 1.26 | 0.65 | 0.92 | -0.82 |
| <i>Time-variant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home-ownership | 0.77 | -0.67 | 0.97 | -0.47 | 1.24 | -0.19 | 1.29 | -0.15 | 0.92 | -0.52 | 3.42 | 2.00 |
| Mother's hours worked | 4.67 | -0.58 | 4.77 | -0.49 | 7.44 | 1.88 | 4.88 | -0.39 | 4.45 | -0.78 | 5.72 | 0.35 |
| Father's smoking | 4.29 | -0.81 | 4.80 | -0.49 | 8.23 | 1.71 | 4.83 | -0.47 | 4.52 | -0.67 | 6.68 | 0.72 |
| <i>Weighted means</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scheme 1 ^b | | -1.10 | | -0.07 | | 1.18 | | 0.34 | | -0.24 | | -0.09 |
| Scheme 2 ^c | | -1.12 | | -0.04 | | 1.19 | | 0.36 | | -0.26 | | -0.12 |

Table 3 Mean absolute differences (MAD) (smaller is better) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMAD) (more negative is better) between simulated and observed data for age 11

| Data Characteristic | OLS-LDV | | RE | | RE-AR (1) | | Hybrid | | FE | | System GMM DPM | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD |
| PRESS statistic ⁰ | 0.54 | -0.01 | 0.43 | -0.65 | 0.82 | 1.55 | 0.43 | -0.65 | 0.36 | -1.05 | 0.69 | 0.81 |
| Overall distributions | 1.87 | -0.93 | 3.70 | 0.2 | 6.32 | 1.81 | 3.67 | 0.18 | 2.37 | -0.63 | 2.37 | -0.63 |
| Means across time | 0.50 | -1.42 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.70 | -0.01 | 0.93 | 1.66 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.64 | -0.41 |
| Within-child correlations (dynamism) | 0.09 | -1.45 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.15 | -0.46 | 0.14 | -0.68 |
| Within-child standard deviations | 0.76 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -0.27 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 1.16 | 0.14 | 1.27 | 0.66 |
| <i>Time-invariant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | 0.57 | -1.5 | 1.13 | 0.27 | 1.14 | 0.33 | 1.26 | 0.71 | 1.38 | 1.11 | 0.76 | -0.92 |
| Breast-feeding | 1.84 | -1 | 2.14 | -0.22 | 2.99 | 1.93 | 2.21 | -0.04 | 2.10 | -0.32 | 2.09 | -0.35 |
| Father's education | 0.83 | -1.58 | 1.33 | 0.07 | 1.65 | 1.08 | 1.40 | 0.29 | 1.57 | 0.85 | 1.09 | -0.71 |
| Mother's education | 0.93 | -1.4 | 1.65 | 0.3 | 1.97 | 1.07 | 1.72 | 0.48 | 1.79 | 0.63 | 1.06 | -1.08 |
| Family's socio-economic status | 0.86 | -1.66 | 1.06 | -0.57 | 1.38 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 0.31 | 1.26 | 0.55 | 1.19 | 0.16 |
| <i>Time-variant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home-ownership | 1.04 | -0.79 | 1.57 | -0.4 | 2.16 | 0.04 | 1.78 | -0.24 | 1.34 | -0.57 | 4.71 | 1.96 |
| Mother's hours worked | 5.17 | -0.45 | 5.06 | -0.52 | 8.79 | 1.9 | 5.13 | -0.48 | 4.70 | -0.76 | 6.35 | 0.31 |
| Father's smoking | 5.42 | -0.88 | 5.90 | -0.51 | 8.22 | 1.26 | 5.96 | -0.47 | 5.65 | -0.70 | 8.27 | 1.30 |
| <i>Weighted means</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scheme 1 ^b | | -1.10 | | -0.06 | | 1.09 | | 0.28 | | -0.27 | | 0.05 |
| Scheme 2 ^c | | -1.10 | | -0.03 | | 1.09 | | 0.34 | | -0.30 | | 0.01 |

Table 4 Mean absolute differences (MAD) (smaller is better) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMAD) (more negative is better) between simulated and observed data for age 12

| Data Characteristic | OLS-LDV | | RE | | RE-AR (1) | | Hybrid | | FE | | System GMM | DPM |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|------------|-------|
| | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD |
| PRESS statistic ⁰ | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.48 | -0.74 | 0.82 | 1.05 | 0.48 | -0.73 | 0.42 | -1.06 | 0.86 | 1.29 |
| Overall distributions | 2.00 | -1.1 | 3.72 | 0.37 | 5.23 | 1.66 | 3.68 | 0.33 | 2.61 | -0.58 | 2.48 | -0.69 |
| Means across time | 0.50 | -1.42 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.70 | -0.01 | 0.93 | 1.66 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.64 | -0.41 |
| Within-child correlations (dynamism) | 0.09 | -1.45 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.15 | -0.46 | 0.14 | -0.68 |
| Within-child standard deviations | 0.76 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -0.27 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 1.16 | 0.14 | 1.27 | 0.66 |
| <i>Time-invariant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | 0.78 | -1.49 | 1.18 | 0.18 | 1.09 | -0.18 | 1.31 | 0.76 | 1.45 | 1.33 | 0.99 | -0.61 |
| Breast-feeding | 1.92 | -0.62 | 1.95 | -0.52 | 2.76 | 1.94 | 2.06 | -0.19 | 1.88 | -0.73 | 2.17 | 0.13 |
| Father's education | 0.92 | -1.71 | 1.16 | -0.37 | 1.46 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.56 | 1.26 | 0.16 |
| Mother's education | 1.03 | -1.57 | 1.31 | -0.22 | 1.67 | 1.53 | 1.42 | 0.33 | 1.38 | 0.11 | 1.32 | -0.18 |
| Family's socio-economic status | 1.04 | -1.09 | 1.01 | -1.32 | 1.26 | 0.97 | 1.17 | 0.16 | 1.18 | 0.27 | 1.26 | 1.01 |
| <i>Time-variant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home-ownership | 1.44 | -0.49 | 1.51 | -0.44 | 1.75 | -0.29 | 1.76 | -0.29 | 1.38 | -0.52 | 5.43 | 2.03 |
| Mother's hours worked | 5.14 | -0.57 | 5.30 | -0.44 | 8.14 | 1.82 | 5.29 | -0.44 | 4.78 | -0.85 | 6.45 | 0.48 |
| Father's smoking | 7.48 | -0.71 | 7.80 | -0.48 | 9.13 | 0.51 | 7.86 | -0.43 | 7.49 | -0.71 | 10.88 | 1.82 |
| <i>Weighted means</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scheme 1 ^b | | -1.06 | | -0.11 | | 0.94 | | 0.28 | | -0.30 | | 0.25 |
| Scheme 2 ^c | | -1.08 | | -0.05 | | 0.95 | | 0.34 | | -0.32 | | 0.16 |

Table 5 Mean absolute differences (MAD) (smaller is better) and standardised mean absolute differences (SMAD) (more negative is better) between simulated and observed data for age 13

| Data Characteristic | OLS-LDV | | RE | | RE-AR (1) | | Hybrid | | FE | | System GMM DPM | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD | MAD | SMAD |
| PRESS statistic ⁰ | 0.78 | 0.25 | 0.56 | -0.75 | 0.83 | 0.5 | 0.56 | -0.73 | 0.52 | -0.93 | 1.08 | 1.66 |
| Overall distributions | 1.98 | -1.43 | 3.82 | 0.6 | 4.39 | 1.23 | 3.78 | 0.56 | 3.20 | -0.09 | 2.49 | -0.87 |
| Means across time | 0.50 | -1.42 | 0.69 | -0.05 | 0.7 | -0.01 | 0.93 | 1.66 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.64 | -0.41 |
| Within-child correlations (dynamism) | 0.09 | -1.45 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.24 | 0.92 | 0.23 | 0.82 | 0.15 | -0.46 | 0.14 | -0.68 |
| Within-child standard deviations | 0.76 | -1.75 | 1.07 | -0.27 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.13 | 0.02 | 1.16 | 0.14 | 1.27 | 0.66 |
| <i>Time-invariant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | 0.74 | -1.65 | 1.32 | 0.22 | 1.12 | -0.42 | 1.40 | 0.48 | 1.68 | 1.36 | 1.26 | 0.01 |
| Breast-feeding | 1.81 | -0.38 | 1.68 | -0.75 | 2.52 | 1.67 | 1.73 | -0.61 | 1.69 | -0.72 | 2.21 | 0.78 |
| Father's education | 0.86 | -1.39 | 0.98 | -0.72 | 1.27 | 0.81 | 1.11 | -0.06 | 1.12 | -0.01 | 1.37 | 1.37 |
| Mother's education | 0.93 | -0.62 | 0.87 | -0.85 | 1.36 | 1.08 | 1.01 | -0.27 | 0.89 | -0.78 | 1.45 | 1.43 |
| Family's socio-economic status | 1.09 | -0.26 | 0.89 | -1.08 | 1.32 | 0.74 | 1.01 | -0.57 | 1.03 | -0.48 | 1.54 | 1.63 |
| <i>Time-variant predictors:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Home-ownership | 1.07 | -0.48 | 1.07 | -0.48 | 1.46 | -0.24 | 1.28 | -0.36 | 1.09 | -0.47 | 5.15 | 2.03 |
| Mother's hours worked | 5.27 | -0.79 | 5.57 | -0.56 | 7.98 | 1.3 | 5.60 | -0.54 | 5.40 | -0.69 | 7.95 | 1.27 |
| Father's smoking | 5.79 | -0.51 | 5.75 | -0.53 | 8.22 | 0.7 | 5.83 | -0.5 | 5.07 | -0.88 | 10.23 | 1.71 |
| <i>Weighted means</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scheme 1 ^b | | -1.04 | | -0.11 | | 0.74 | | 0.24 | | -0.27 | | 0.44 |
| Scheme 2 ^c | | -1.09 | | -0.03 | | 0.75 | | 0.33 | | -0.25 | | 0.29 |

2. RESULTS FROM SIGNIFICANCE TESTS BETWEEN MODELS

The following abbreviations are used in the tables:

OLS-LDV: Ordinary least squares regression model with a lagged dependent variable

RE: Random effects model with a variance components error structure

RE-AR(1): Random effects model with an autoregressive within-child error structure of order 1

Hybrid: Hybrid model

System GMM DPM: Dynamic panel model estimated with system generalised method of moments

OVERALL DISTRIBUTIONS

Table 2 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed percentiles of the distribution of reading scores). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Percentile | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 10 th | 25 th | 50 th | 75 th | 90 th |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -31.08 | -19.56 | -28.23 | -24.00 | -14.27 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -9.90 | -18.06 | -17.57 | -8.52 | -6.81 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -2.11 | 0.11 | 2.12 | -1.35 | -0.61 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | 1.45 | -10.74 | -22.55 | -7.51 | -8.31 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -29.93 | -9.64 | -6.25 | -29.91 | -13.54 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -2.63 | -2.30 | 1.66 | 1.75 | -3.02 |
| RE - Hybrid | 2.41 | 9.73 | 25.19 | 8.85 | 2.46 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 11.01 | -0.14 | 12.25 | 9.27 | -2.54 |
| RE - FE | 20.03 | 9.72 | 3.66 | 31.28 | 10.41 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 14.97 | 13.80 | 27.01 | 22.03 | 10.03 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 30.17 | 5.88 | 14.38 | 26.04 | 10.18 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 3.34 | 10.18 | 16.87 | 6.51 | 3.00 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 11.02 | 0.92 | 5.88 | 5.89 | 0.31 |
| Hybrid - FE | 2.72 | -8.57 | -18.00 | -4.00 | -3.29 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -31.08 | -19.56 | -28.23 | -24.00 | -14.27 |

MEANS OVER TIME

Table 2 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed means of reading scores). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Age | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -1.84 | -1.95 | -3.48 | -0.90 | -1.83 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -1.85 | -1.65 | -3.75 | -1.04 | -2.31 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -3.39 | -3.59 | -4.38 | -3.43 | -3.07 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | 1.80 | 0.21 | -2.02 | -1.49 | -2.69 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -1.53 | -2.34 | -3.70 | -1.38 | -2.05 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -0.05 | 1.98 | -1.10 | -0.28 | -0.74 |
| RE - Hybrid | -3.47 | -2.94 | -1.75 | -2.64 | -1.98 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 2.16 | 2.12 | 1.38 | -0.77 | -1.75 |
| RE - FE | 0.96 | -0.75 | -1.87 | -1.57 | -1.15 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | -3.43 | -3.13 | -1.32 | -2.44 | -1.72 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 2.18 | 1.80 | 1.68 | -0.71 | -1.56 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 0.91 | -1.55 | -1.12 | -1.07 | -0.38 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 3.65 | 3.63 | 2.56 | 1.05 | -0.76 |
| Hybrid - FE | 3.54 | 2.09 | 0.78 | 1.79 | 1.16 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -1.85 | -2.38 | -2.02 | 0.29 | 1.52 |

CHILD-SPECIFIC CORRELATIONS (DYNAMISM)

Table 3 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed percentiles of the distribution of child-specific correlations between current and lagged reading scores). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Percentile | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 10 th | 25 th | 50 th | 75 th | 90 th |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -37.88 | -82.01 | -93.94 | -89.52 | -83.17 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -30.27 | -44.09 | -55.95 | -62.67 | -61.41 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -40.68 | -88.06 | -96.87 | -87.17 | -78.17 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -14.53 | -13.64 | -13.83 | -13.49 | -12.42 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -14.39 | -83.70 | -111.84 | -104.30 | -95.05 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -14.68 | 0.23 | 22.05 | 40.07 | 47.60 |
| RE - Hybrid | 2.32 | 2.40 | 2.45 | 2.49 | 2.21 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 3.64 | 33.06 | 65.29 | 75.15 | 72.97 |
| RE - FE | 52.68 | 51.85 | 49.02 | 46.74 | 44.81 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 14.35 | 0.36 | -18.04 | -30.84 | -34.43 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 10.04 | 25.17 | 40.75 | 48.78 | 49.55 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 34.24 | 24.66 | 14.86 | 4.77 | -2.32 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 3.50 | 34.37 | 69.56 | 75.74 | 71.70 |
| Hybrid - FE | 51.39 | 48.19 | 44.55 | 41.19 | 37.90 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | 9.43 | -14.40 | -47.04 | -63.95 | -67.09 |

WITHIN-CHILD STANDARD DEVIATIONS

Table 4 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed percentiles of the distribution of within-child standard deviations of reading scores). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Percentile | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 10 th | 25 th | 50 th | 75 th | 90 th |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -9.99 | -7.43 | -7.45 | 10.71 | 7.70 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | 6.32 | 1.89 | -12.79 | -22.29 | -17.18 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -10.20 | -7.87 | -7.97 | 9.05 | 8.06 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -8.23 | -5.83 | -1.88 | -11.14 | -13.73 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -9.84 | -5.31 | -2.92 | 0.78 | -0.01 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | 41.95 | 42.88 | -23.07 | -24.19 | -15.65 |
| RE - Hybrid | -2.70 | -2.81 | -2.74 | -4.01 | 0.82 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 3.10 | 2.52 | 6.10 | -16.29 | -15.82 |
| RE - FE | -0.02 | 9.12 | 9.48 | -5.53 | -19.46 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | -31.43 | -22.63 | 8.32 | 24.63 | 16.44 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | -10.40 | -6.09 | 11.37 | 6.68 | 4.14 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | -35.78 | -26.65 | 20.58 | 9.90 | 8.05 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 3.54 | 3.13 | 6.71 | -14.82 | -16.49 |
| Hybrid - FE | 2.17 | 7.17 | 8.74 | -3.88 | -13.89 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -3.12 | -0.91 | -1.21 | 6.90 | 6.82 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY GENDER**Table 5** t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by gender). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level

| Comparison | Gender | |
|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| | Girls | Boyx |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -3.97 | -3.21 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -3.75 | -4.12 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -4.51 | -3.65 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -2.48 | -1.64 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -5.08 | -4.03 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | 0.97 | -0.91 |
| RE - Hybrid | -2.88 | -0.98 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 2.22 | 1.52 |
| RE - FE | -3.70 | -3.81 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | -2.44 | 0.07 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 1.71 | 2.30 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | -2.16 | -0.98 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 3.35 | 1.85 |
| Hybrid - FE | 1.12 | -1.13 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -3.41 | -2.84 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY BREAST-FEEDING

Table 6 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by duration of breast-feeding). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Breast-feeding Duration (months) | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -0.35 | -2.91 | -1.63 | -5.11 | -0.45 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -2.99 | -4.71 | -3.66 | -4.12 | -3.40 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -2.15 | -2.66 | -2.87 | -4.09 | -1.10 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -2.89 | -2.52 | -2.01 | -4.44 | -1.10 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -0.33 | -2.46 | -2.96 | -4.79 | -0.96 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -3.08 | -3.82 | -3.46 | -0.95 | -2.69 |
| RE - Hybrid | -2.76 | -1.43 | -2.58 | 3.03 | -1.26 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | -2.07 | -0.09 | -0.91 | 0.93 | -0.09 |
| RE - FE | -0.04 | -0.12 | -2.42 | 0.05 | -1.61 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 0.64 | 2.70 | 1.78 | 1.97 | 2.44 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 0.52 | 2.42 | 1.73 | 1.61 | 2.82 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 2.74 | 3.00 | 2.53 | 0.88 | 1.88 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | -0.10 | 0.57 | 0.43 | -0.10 | 0.49 |
| Hybrid - FE | 2.38 | 1.20 | 0.87 | -2.05 | -0.10 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | 1.95 | 0.04 | 0.01 | -0.89 | -0.47 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY FATHER'S EDUCATION

Table 7 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by father's education at the child's birth). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Father's education | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Tertiary | Secondary | None |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -3.39 | -2.57 | -2.37 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -5.48 | -4.60 | -2.30 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -3.19 | -3.14 | -3.59 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -4.01 | -2.57 | -1.27 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -4.96 | -2.38 | -2.83 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -3.49 | -3.00 | -0.10 |
| RE - Hybrid | -0.38 | -0.79 | -3.00 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 0.38 | 0.55 | 0.65 |
| RE - FE | -4.00 | 0.17 | -1.61 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 3.59 | 2.01 | -2.10 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 3.24 | 3.47 | 0.67 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 0.26 | 2.65 | -0.59 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 0.55 | 0.96 | 2.07 |
| Hybrid - FE | -2.62 | 0.86 | 1.97 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -2.54 | -0.43 | -1.03 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY MOTHER'S EDUCATION

Table 8 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by mother's education at the child's birth). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level

| Comparison | Mother's education | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Tertiary | Secondary | None |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -6.45 | -0.29 | -2.34 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -6.12 | -3.75 | -3.28 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -4.41 | -2.06 | -3.56 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -3.33 | -2.50 | -0.42 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -7.30 | 0.12 | -2.20 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -2.01 | -4.99 | -1.28 |
| RE - Hybrid | 0.79 | -2.13 | -2.76 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 2.81 | -1.62 | 1.36 |
| RE - FE | -3.19 | 0.97 | 0.06 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 2.57 | 2.54 | -1.27 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 5.07 | 2.15 | 1.95 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 0.66 | 4.18 | 1.06 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 2.17 | -0.06 | 2.67 |
| Hybrid - FE | -2.45 | 2.72 | 2.64 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -3.92 | 2.02 | -1.34 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

Table 9 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by family's socio-economic status at the child's birth). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Socio-economic status | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------|
| | Professional | Clerical | Semi-skilled |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -2.90 | -0.90 | 0.34 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -4.91 | -2.14 | -2.62 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -2.41 | -2.75 | -1.37 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -3.18 | -1.14 | -1.70 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -4.24 | -1.22 | 0.09 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -2.34 | -2.12 | -3.34 |
| RE - Hybrid | 0.00 | -3.11 | -2.46 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | 0.13 | 0.16 | -1.90 |
| RE - FE | -3.99 | -1.24 | -0.46 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 2.31 | -1.35 | 1.06 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 2.46 | 1.29 | 0.55 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | -0.30 | 1.18 | 2.84 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | 0.11 | 2.10 | -0.48 |
| Hybrid - FE | -2.50 | 2.51 | 1.83 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | -2.19 | -0.52 | 1.71 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY HOME-OWNERSHIP**Table 10 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by home-ownership status). Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.**

| Comparison | Home-ownership status | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | Owned | Rented |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -4.37 | -0.56 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -4.74 | -3.13 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -4.72 | -1.70 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -4.57 | -7.86 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -3.93 | 0.52 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -1.13 | -4.04 |
| RE - Hybrid | -2.20 | -2.74 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | -2.40 | -7.80 |
| RE - FE | -0.59 | 2.66 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | -1.83 | 1.12 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | -2.00 | -6.59 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 0.12 | 4.75 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | -0.81 | -7.30 |
| Hybrid - FE | 1.63 | 3.87 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | 2.13 | 8.07 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY MOTHER'S WORKING HOURS

Table 11 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by number of hours worked per week by the mother). The values of working hours chosen at which to compute the significance tests were the minimum (0 hrs, also the 10th and 25th percentiles), mean (16 hrs), 75th percentile (27 hours), and 90th and 95th percentiles (both 40 hrs), for the distribution of mother's working hours at age 11. Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Mother's Hours Worked (hours per week) | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 16 | 27 | 40 |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -3.05 | -4.92 | 4.61 | -2.85 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -4.95 | -8.76 | 1.44 | -6.15 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -4.15 | -3.22 | 4.51 | -3.18 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -4.11 | -4.30 | -1.35 | -4.52 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -2.78 | -4.31 | 3.26 | -2.57 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -4.54 | -7.13 | -5.52 | -3.86 |
| RE - Hybrid | -3.32 | 1.02 | -0.95 | -1.29 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | -2.10 | 0.47 | -5.83 | -2.89 |
| RE - FE | 0.60 | -0.90 | -2.07 | 0.23 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | 1.63 | 7.43 | 5.58 | 1.87 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | 0.31 | 6.53 | -2.63 | -1.68 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 3.56 | 6.24 | 3.37 | 3.26 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | -0.50 | -0.03 | -5.73 | -2.22 |
| Hybrid - FE | 2.70 | -1.94 | -1.73 | 1.34 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | 2.35 | -0.82 | 4.07 | 2.86 |

MEAN READING SCORES BY FATHER'S SMOKING**Table 12 t-values from paired t-tests (df=29) comparing the absolute differences (between the simulated and observed mean reading scores by number of hours worked per week by the mother).**

The values of cigarettes chosen at which to compute the significance tests were the minimum (0 cigarettes, also the 10th, 25th, and 75th percentiles and the median), mean (4 cigarettes), and the 90th and 95th percentiles (both 20 cigarettes) for the distribution of number of cigarettes smoked per day by the father-figure at age 11. Values greater than 2.46 indicate (unadjusted) significance at the .01 level.

| Comparison | Father's Smoking (cigarettes per day) | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| OLS-LDV - RE | -3.20 | -5.84 | -0.03 |
| OLS-LDV - RE-AR(1) | -3.62 | -6.38 | -0.18 |
| OLS-LDV - Hybrid | -4.33 | -5.50 | -1.36 |
| OLS-LDV - System GMM DPM | -6.99 | -1.60 | -6.97 |
| OLS-LDV - FE | -3.02 | -3.51 | -1.91 |
| RE - RE-AR(1) | -1.42 | -5.41 | -0.24 |
| RE - Hybrid | -2.69 | -0.63 | -2.72 |
| RE - System GMM DPM | -4.60 | 1.81 | -7.37 |
| RE - FE | -1.06 | 0.51 | -2.47 |
| RE-AR(1) - Hybrid | -2.03 | 5.17 | -1.46 |
| RE-AR(1) - System GMM DPM | -4.47 | 5.56 | -7.67 |
| RE-AR(1) - FE | 0.11 | 4.33 | -1.49 |
| Hybrid - System GMM DPM | -2.77 | 2.16 | -6.86 |
| Hybrid - FE | 1.74 | 0.72 | 0.15 |
| System GMM DPM - FE | 3.88 | -1.13 | 6.95 |

3. TESTING EXOGENEITY OF PREDICTORS

To test exogeneity of the predictors the C statistic was used by using the `ivreg2` function (or the `xtivreg2` function for the fixed effects model) in stata with the `endogtest` option. To perform this test “excluded” instruments are required that are not included in the regression equation. We had available to us a number of variables that had not been included in the any of the models. These were tested to see whether they were potential instruments by testing for instrument relevance and exogeneity (using routines in the `ivreg2` suite). We identified nine instruments. We were not able to test the exogeneity of all the predictors in a single joint test due to there not being enough instruments to identify the model, so each predictor was tested for exogeneity individually while treating all other variables in the model (the set of predictors, including the LDV) as exogenous.

Different options of `ivreg2` were used to test for exogeneity of predictors for the different models. For the OLS-LDV model the LDV was included in the model and the `cluster` option was not used meaning that the panel structure of the data would be ignored (as in done in the OLS-LDV model). For the RE model, the `cluster` options was used such that the panel structure was recognised and the LDV was not included in the model. No convenient tests were available to mimic the RE-AR(1) model and so the tests for the RE model should be referred to for the RE-AR(1) model. For the FE model, the `xtivreg2` function was used with the `fe` and `cluster` option and the LDV was not included in the model. For the hybrid model, the same options and as used for the RE model were used except that the meaned and deviation score forms of the time-variant predictors were used in the model instead of the variables in their original forms. The tests were not conducted for the GMM dynamic panel model as this model does not need to assume exogeneity of predictors.

4. RESULTS

The results in Tables 1 and 2 below are those referenced in Table 2 in the main article.

Table 3 Exogeneity tests for predictors for OLS-LDV, RE, RE-AR(1), and FE models

| | OLS-LDV | | | | RE an RE-AR (1) | | | | FE | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---|----------------------------------|
| | C statistic: $\chi^2(1)$ | p | Under- identification Test Rejected ^a | Cragg- Donald Wald F | C statistic: $\chi^2(1)$ | P | Under- identification Test Rejected ^a | <i>rk</i> statistic ^b | C statistic: $\chi^2(1)$ | p | Under- identification Test Rejected ^a | <i>rk</i> statistic ^b |
| Age | 6.93 | .0085 | Yes | 13.60 | 2.67 | .1021 | Yes | 10.47 | | | | |
| Age squared | 4.83 | .0280 | No | 0.71 | 4.76 | .0291 | No | 1.49 | | | | |
| <i>Time-invariant:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Father's education - tertiary | 0.53 | .4273 | Yes | 5.90 | 0.05 | .8202 | No | 1.75 | | | | |
| Father's education - secondary | 0.01 | .9324 | Yes | 9.85 | 0.44 | .5062 | Yes | 2.24 | | | | |
| Breast-feeding | 0.96 | .3263 | Yes | 28.94 | 0.31 | .5777 | Yes | 7.23 | | | | |
| Mother's education - tertiary | 0.67 | .4125 | Yes | 18.96 | 5.94 | .0148 | Yes | 5.27 | | | | |
| Mother's education - secondary | 0.78 | .3766 | Yes | 24.78 | 4.87 | .0273 | Yes | 5.77 | | | | |
| Socio-economic status - professional | 0.80 | .3702 | Yes | 36.90 | 4.30 | .0382 | Yes | 8.30 | | | | |
| Socio-economic status -clerical | 1.43 | .2297 | Yes | 30.21 | 6.29 | .0122 | Yes | 5.13 | | | | |
| Gender - male | 1.94 | .1637 | Yes | 3.20 | 3.00 | .0831 | No | 0.51 | | | | |
| <i>Time-variant:</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Father's smoking | 0.00 | .9615 | Yes | 41.87 | 0.12 | .7262 | Yes | 10.14 | 0.27 | .6052 | Yes | 12.10 |
| Home-ownership | 1.24 | .2664 | Yes | 97.35 | 4.03 | .0447 | Yes | 16.51 | 0.24 | .6278 | Yes | 6.96 |
| Mother's working hours | 5.64 | .0175 | Yes | 67.08 | 10.81 | .0012 | Yes | 26.95 | 0.25 | .6190 | Yes | 20.41 |

^a Kleibergen-Paap rk LM test. Rejection based at the .05 level.

^b Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F test

Table 2 Exogeneity tests for predictors for the hybrid model

| | C statistic: $\chi^2(1)$ | p | Under-identification Test Rejected ^a | rk statistic ^b |
|--|--------------------------|-------|---|---------------------------|
| Age | 0.80 | .3726 | Yes | 8.03 |
| Age squared | 3.19 | .0741 | No | 1.15 |
| <i>Time-invariant:</i> | | | | |
| Father's education - tertiary | 0.00 | .9863 | No | 1.60 |
| Father's education - secondary | 0.37 | .5457 | Yes | 2.25 |
| Breast-feeding | 0.44 | .5063 | Yes | 7.08 |
| Mother's education - tertiary | 4.76 | .0291 | Yes | 4.62 |
| Mother's education - secondary | 4.12 | .0423 | Yes | 5.29 |
| Socio-economic status - professional | 3.45 | .0633 | Yes | 7.31 |
| Socio-economic status -clerical | 5.28 | .0216 | Yes | 4.20 |
| Gender - male | 2.48 | .1153 | No | 0.48 |
| Father's smoking means | 1.50 | .2200 | Yes | 5.84 |
| Home-ownership means | 3.89 | .0486 | Yes | 14.23 |
| Mother's working hours means | 9.16 | .0025 | Yes | 18.47 |
| <i>Time-variant:</i> | | | | |
| Father's smoking deviation score | 1.95 | .1627 | Yes | 6.36 |
| Home-ownership deviation score | 0.57 | .4524 | Yes | 3.34 |
| Mother's working hours deviation score | 7.05 | .0079 | Yes | 11.05 |

^a Kleibergen-Paap rk LM test. Rejection based at the .05 level.

^b Kleibergen-Paap rk Wald F test

STATA CODE

A glossary for the variable names used in the code in this section can be found in Table #.

Testing exogeneity of potential excluded instruments

The following code is an example of that used to test for the exogeneity of the variable *ga*.

```
ivreg2 burt agecent agecentsq gender meduc1 meduc2 feduc1 feduc2
ses1 ses2 breast mhrswrk homeown fsmoke (ga=single welfare
fhrswrk chpar kids chres pregalc bw single0 accom fage pregsmk
overcrowd mage msmoke), cluster(id) endogtest(ga)
```

Testing redundancy/relevance of potential excluded instruments

The following code is an example of that used to test for the relevance of the variable `z1accom` as an excluded instrument.

```
ivreg2 burt agecentsq gender feduc1 feduc2 (agecent meduc1
meduc2 ses1 ses2 breast mhrswrk homeown fsmoke=single0 mage
chres single bw ga msmove pregalc fage pregsmk kids fhrswrk
welfare overcrowd accom), cluster(id) redundant(z1accom)
```

Testing exogeneity of predictors

Each of the following code snippets are examples of the code used to test for the exogeneity of the variable `mhrswrk` for the different models.

OLS-LDV: `ivreg2 burti burt_previous feduc2 agecent homeown meduc1
feduc1 ses2 gender ses1 fsmoke agecentsq meduc2 breast (mhrswrk
= single single0 msmove fage pregsmk kids welfare overcrowd
accom), endogtest(mhrswrk)`

RE and RE-AR(1): `ivreg2 burt fsmoke feduc1 agecentsq ses1 agecent
meduc1 breast gender feduc2 meduc2 homeown ses2 (mhrswrk=single
single0 msmove fage pregsmk kids welfare overcrowd accom),
cluster(id) endogtest(mhrswrk)`

FE: `xtivreg2 burt gender agecentsq agecent meduc2 breast feduc2
ses2 feduc1 meduc1 ses1 fsmoke homeown (mhrswrk =single single0
msmove fage pregsmk kids welfare zlovercrowd accom), fe
cluster(id) endogtest(mhrswrk)`

```

Hybrid: ivreg2 burt gender mhrswrkmeans agecentsq agecent meduc2
breast feduc2 ses2 feduc1 meduc1 fsmokemeans dfsmoke ses1
homeownmean dhomeown (dmhrswrk =single single0 msmoke fage
pregsmk kids welfare overcrowd accom), cluster(id)
endogtest(dmhrswrk)

```

Table 3 Glossary of variable names and labels used in stata code

| Variable name | Variable label |
|---------------|--|
| burt | Burt reading score |
| agecent | Age centred |
| agecentsq | Age centred squared |
| gender | Gender |
| meduc1 | Indicator variable for mother having tertiary education at the child's birth |
| meduc2 | Indicator variable for mother having secondary education at the child's birth |
| feduc1 | Indicator variable for father having tertiary education at the child's birth |
| feduc2 | Indicator variable for father having secondary education at the child's birth |
| ses1 | Indicator variable for family's socio-economic status to be professional at the child's birth to |
| ses2 | Indicator variable for family's socio-economic status to be clerical at the child's birth to |
| breast | Duration of breast-feeding |
| mhrswrk | Average number of hours worked per week by the mother |
| homeown | Indicator variable for owning the home |
| fsmoke | Average number of cigarettes smoked per day by the father |
| ga | Gestational age |
| single | Indicator variable for child living in a single-parent family |
| welfare | Indicator variable for child's family receiving a benefit |
| fhswrk | Average number of hours worked per week by the father |
| chpar | Indicator variable for whether the child experienced a change in parents in the last year |
| kids | Number of children in the household |
| chres | Number of changes in residence over the last year |
| pregalc | Average number of alcoholic drinks consumed per week during pregnancy |
| bw | Birth weight |
| single0 | Indicator variable for the child being born into a single-parent family |
| accom | Indicator variable for the child living in a detached house |
| fage | Father's age at the child's birth |
| pregsmk | Average number of cigarettes smoked per day during pregnancy |
| overcrowd | Indicator variable for the child living in over-crowded conditions |
| mage | Mother's age at the child's birth |
| msmoke | Average number of cigarettes smoked per day by the mother |
| id | Individual child id |